

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/920,728	08/03/2001	Robert James Tribe	0100/0131	5066
21395 7	590 12/23/2003		EXAM	INER
LOUIS WOO			RODRIGUEZ, CRIS LOIREN	
	OF LOUIS WOO		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
717 NORTH FAYETTE STREET			AKTONII	PAPER NUMBER
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			3763	17
			DATE MAIL ED: 12/23/200	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<del></del> -		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/920,728	TRIBE ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Cris L. Rodriguez	3763				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	CORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the provision of the	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of th d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC ute, cause the application to become	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21	October 2003.					
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4)  Claim(s) 1.4.5 and 7-10 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1.4.5.7-10 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the Exami The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) \[ \] at Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) \[ \] Some * c) \[ \] None of:  1. \[ \] Certified copies of the priority docume 2. \[ \] Certified copies of the priority docume 3. \[ \] Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a lie.	ccepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyonection is required if the drawing Examiner. Note the attachment of the priority under 35 U.S.Counts have been received in the certified copies not the certified copies not the design of the certified copies not the design of the certified copies not copies	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). ed Office Action or form PTO-152.  . § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  Application No n received in this National Stage				
14)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome ince a specific reference was included in the 17 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign language packnowledgment is made of a claim for dome eference was included in the first sentence of the first sentence of the packnowledgment is made of a claim for dome eference was included in the first sentence of the packnowledgment is made of a claim for dome eference was included in the first sentence of the packnowledgment in the first sentence of the packnowledgment in the first sentence of the packnowledgment is made of a claim for dome packnowledgment in the first sentence of the packnowledgment is made of a claim for dome packnowledgment in the first sentence of the packnowledgment is made of a claim for dome packnowledgment in the first sentence of the packnowledgment is made of a claim for dome packnowledgment is made of a claim for dome effectively.	first sentence of the speciforovisional application has stic priority under 35 U.S.C the specification or in an A	cation or in an Application Data Sheet.  been received.  \$\frac{2}{5}\$ 120 and/or 121 since a specific}				

Application/Control Number: 09/920,728

Art Unit: 3763

## **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1, 4, 5, and 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Moberg et al (US 6,362,591).

Moberg discloses a syringe pump having a drive mechanism 120, an occlusion detector including a force sensor 7' (see US 4,678.408 incorporated by reference in col. 4, lines27-30), and the method steps as claimed. Moberg's syringe pump is operable in response to a detected occlusion to reverse the drive applied to move the plunger along the barrel sufficiently until the force detected by the force sensor falls by a predetermined amount.

## Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed October 21, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's arguments that Moberg's pump also reverses the drive when the occlusion is detected but its not in response to the detection of an elevated force, the examiner disagrees. The examiner directs applicant attention to col. 5, lines

Application/Control Number: 09/920,728

Art Unit: 3763

33-65, and col. 6, lines 7-17. The occlusion detector (force sensor) does not work by itself, and works in conjunction with the control unit or encoder and the motor like applicants. Moberg also discloses that the occlusion detector measures variation in reservoir pressure by monitoring several parameters (col. 5). Therefore, Moberg anticipates the claims.

Furthermore, the incorporation of the '408 patent into Moberg is to show the construction of the infusion pump (which includes the occlusion detector) and its operation. In response to arguments that Moberg, in col. 1, line 58 – col. 2, line 28, avoids force sensing, the examiner disagrees. That's a description of prior infusion pumps using switch systems, and not a description of its own invention.

#### Conclusion

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cris L. Rodriguez whose telephone number is (703) 308-2194. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 am - 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Casler can be reached on (703) 308-3552. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

December 16, 2003.

Cris L. Rodriguez

Examiner

Art Unit 3763